Journal of Human Resource Management
2024, Vol. 12, No. 2, pp. 42-47
https://doi.org/10.11648/j.jhrm.20241202.13

Research Article

The Neuroscientific Validation of the Leadership Competency Model Drenica

Fadil Çitaku[1, 2, 3, *], **Hetem Ramadani**[3, 4]

[1]Department of Neuroleadership, Academy of Leadership Sciences Switzerland, Zürich, Switzerland
[2]Department of Humanities, Social and Political Sciences, ETH, Zürich, Switzerland
[3]Department of Research, Instituti për Avancim Kombëtar, Prishtinë, Kosovë
[4]Department of Healthcare and Innovation, Health Revolution, Tiranë, Shqipëri

Abstract

The Leadership Competency Model Drenica, developed by Çitaku and Ramadani in 2020, offers a comprehensive framework encompassing essential competencies crucial for effective leadership. However, validating the efficacy of these competencies necessitates exploring their neuroscientific underpinnings. This study conducted an extensive literature review to elucidate the neural mechanisms associated with each competency outlined in the Drenica model. The findings reveal a robust neuroscientific basis supporting these competencies, ranging from decision-making to communication and collaboration. Key neuroscientific validations include the role of prefrontal cortex activity and neurotransmitter balance in decision-making, the involvement of neural circuits in language processing and empathy for communication skills, and the contribution of neuroplasticity mechanisms to continuous learning and adaptability. Furthermore, the analysis underscores the importance of neurotransmitter systems such as dopamine, serotonin, and oxytocin in shaping leadership behaviors across various competencies. Understanding the neural substrates of effective leadership allows organizations to tailor leadership development programs to enhance organizational outcomes and foster a culture of innovation and inclusivity. Integrating neuroscience into leadership studies holds promise for advancing the understanding of leadership effectiveness and facilitating evidence-based practices in leadership development. In essence, this study underscores the significance of the Drenica model as a versatile tool for leadership development, enriched by neuroscientific insights, thus paving the way for Neuoleadership—a paradigm that leverages neuroscientific principles to cultivate effective leadership behaviors and drive organizational success.

Keywords

Leadership Competency Model Drenica, Neuroscientific Validation, Decision-Making, Prefrontal Cortex, Neurotransmitter Balance, Neural Circuits, Dopamine, Oxytocin

1. Introduction

Effective leadership is a multifaceted construct, encompassing a diverse set of competencies essential for guiding individuals and organizations towards success. The Leadership Competency Model Drenica, developed by Çitaku and

*Corresponding author: fadil.citaku@alss-edu.ch (Fadil Çitaku)

Received: 2 April 2024; **Accepted:** 15 April 2024; **Published:** 13 June 2024

Ramadani in 2020, provides a comprehensive framework that delineates these essential competencies. However, to truly understand and validate the efficacy of these competencies, it is imperative to examine their neuroscientific underpinnings. By elucidating the neural mechanisms associated with each competency, we can gain valuable insights into the biological basis of effective leadership.

Table 1 below presents the leadership competencies derived from the study "Leadership Competency Model-Drenica: Generalizability of Leadership Competencies" by Çitaku & Ramadani in 2020 [1].

Table 1. *Leadership Competency Model Drenica (1).*

D	**Dignity and respect; Distributing rewards fairly; Decision Making**
R	Reflect; Relationship building; Responsibility for others; Reinforcing change
E	Ethics; Enhancing task knowledge; Eliminating barriers to performance; Evaluating consequences; Explaining decisions with respect
N	Nurturing relationships
I	Integrity and Honesty; Identifying problems; Intelligent risk taking
C	Communication with community; Continuous learning; Critical thinking; Creative problem solving; Collaborating
A	Active listening; Adaptability; Achieving goals

2. Methodology

To validate the Leadership Competency Model Drenica from a neuroscientific perspective, an extensive review of relevant literature in the field of neuroscience was conducted. Specifically, studies investigating the neural correlates of various leadership competencies, ranging from decision-making to communication and collaboration, were examined. By synthesizing findings from these studies, the goal was to elucidate the neurobiological basis of each competency outlined in the Drenica model.

3. Literature Review

The review revealed a wealth of neuroscientific evidence supporting the competencies outlined in the Drenica model. For instance, research on decision-making highlighted the role of prefrontal cortex activity and neurotransmitter balance in influencing decision-making processes. Similarly, studies on communication underscored the importance of neural circuits involved in language processing and empathy for effective communication skills. Across all competencies, common themes emerged, emphasizing the significance of neural networks and neurotransmitter systems in shaping leadership behaviors.

Table 2 below presents the Drenica Leadership Competency Model, comprising 25 competencies. Each of these competencies has been validated through insights from neuroscience.

Table 2. *Leadership Competency Model Drenica and Neuroscientific Validation.*

Competency	Neuroscientific Validation
1. Dignity and Respect	Neural correlates of empathy and social cognition are associated with fostering respectful and dignified interactions. Neurotransmitters such as oxytocin facilitate prosocial behaviors [2].
2. Distributing Rewards Fairly	Activation in reward-related brain regions (e.g., ventral striatum) is observed when fairness is perceived. Dopamine plays a crucial role in reward processing [3].
3. Decision Making	Prefrontal cortex activity and neurotransmitter balance (e.g., dopamine, serotonin) influence decision-making processes. Neural networks integrate cognitive and emotional information during decisions [4].
4. Reflection	Default Mode Network (DMN) activity is implicated in reflective processes, facilitating introspection and self-awareness. Neuroplasticity enables learning from past experiences [5].

Competency	Neuroscientific Validation
5. Relationship Building	Oxytocin and vasopressin contribute to trust and bonding, crucial for building strong relationships. Mirror neuron system aids in understanding and mirroring others' emotions [6].
6. Responsibility for Others	Activation in brain regions associated with empathy and theory of mind reflects a sense of responsibility towards others' well-being. Neurohormones like cortisol modulate stress responses in leadership roles [7].
7. Reinforcing Change	Neuroplasticity enables the formation of new habits and behaviors, essential for reinforcing change. Dopamine reinforces behaviors associated with rewards [8].
8. Ethics	Activation in brain regions linked to moral reasoning (e.g., ventromedial prefrontal cortex) underlies ethical decision-making. Serotonin influences moral behavior and social norms adherence [9].
9. Enhancing Task Knowledge	Hippocampal activity is crucial for encoding and retrieving task-related information, facilitating knowledge enhancement. Neurotransmitters like acetylcholine modulate learning and memory processes [10].
10. Eliminating Barriers to Performance	Amygdala modulation and stress reduction strategies help in overcoming performance barriers. Neurotransmitters such as GABA regulate anxiety responses [11].
11. Evaluating Consequences	Anterior cingulate cortex activity is associated with assessing potential outcomes, considering consequences, and risk evaluation. Dopamine influences reward anticipation and risk-taking behavior [12].
12. Explaining Decisions with Respect	Effective communication engages neural circuits involved in language processing and empathy, fostering respect in decision explanations. Neurotransmitters like oxytocin enhance social bonding and trust [13].
13. Empowerment	Activation in brain regions associated with autonomy and self-efficacy supports empowerment initiatives. Neurotransmitters like dopamine reinforce feelings of competence and reward [14].
14. Nurturing Relationships	Oxytocin release promotes bonding and nurturing behaviors crucial for relationship development. Mirror neuron system facilitates empathy and emotional resonance [15].
15. Integrity and Honesty	Activation in brain regions linked to moral cognition underlies integrity and honesty. Serotonin levels influence honesty and fairness behaviors [16].
16. Identifying Problems	Prefrontal cortex activation aids in problem identification and analysis. Dopamine modulation enhances cognitive flexibility and problem-solving abilities [17].
17. Intelligent Risk Taking	Balanced activity in reward and prefrontal control regions facilitates intelligent risk-taking. Dopamine modulation affects risk perception and decision-making under uncertainty [18].
18. Communication with Community	Neural circuits involved in social communication and empathy support effective community communication. Oxytocin enhances trust and affiliation in community interactions [19].
19. Continuous Learning	Neuroplasticity enables continuous learning and adaptation to new information and challenges. Neurotransmitters like dopamine modulate motivation and reward in learning contexts [20].
20. Critical Thinking	Activation in dorsolateral prefrontal cortex is associated with critical thinking and logical reasoning. Neurotransmitters like glutamate facilitate synaptic plasticity crucial for cognitive flexibility [21].
21. Creative Problem Solving	Activation in brain regions linked to divergent thinking and creativity supports creative problem-solving. Neurotransmitters like dopamine and serotonin influence creative cognition and exploration [22].
22. Collaborating	Neural synchrony and empathy facilitate effective collaboration and teamwork. Oxytocin promotes trust and cooperation among team members [23].
23. Active Listening	Activation in auditory processing areas and prefrontal cortex supports active listening. Oxytocin enhances social attunement and empathy during listening [24].
24. Adaptability	Activation in prefrontal regions and neuroplasticity mechanisms support adaptability to changing environments. Neurotransmitters like noradrenaline regulate arousal and cognitive flexibility [25].

Competency	Neuroscientific Validation
25. Achieve Goals	Dopaminergic pathways play a crucial role in goal-directed behavior and motivation. Activation in reward-related brain regions reinforces goal attainment behaviors [26].

4. Results

In the ever-evolving landscape of leadership studies, the integration of neuroscience offers a profound avenue for understanding the intricacies of effective leadership. The Leadership Competency Model Drenica, crafted by Çitaku and Ramadani in 2020, provides a robust framework comprising 25 essential competencies crucial for effective leadership across diverse domains. By scrutinizing this model through the lens of neuroscientific principles, we unravel a deeper understanding of the biological substrates underlying these competencies, thus enriching its validity and applicability in leadership practice.

Key Findings and Implications

1. Decision Making: Neuroscientific evidence highlights the influence of prefrontal cortex activity and neurotransmitter balance on decision-making processes. Understanding these neural mechanisms can aid leaders in making informed and effective decisions, thereby enhancing organizational outcomes and fostering a culture of adaptability and innovation.
2. Communication and Collaboration: Effective communication and collaboration are underpinned by neural circuits implicated in language processing, empathy, and trust. Leaders armed with this knowledge can foster cohesive teams, facilitate meaningful dialogue, and nurture a culture of inclusivity and cooperation within organizations.
3. Ethics and Integrity: Neural correlates associated with moral reasoning and integrity elucidate the neural underpinnings of ethical decision-making. By promoting a culture of integrity, leaders can cultivate trust, credibility, and organizational cohesion, thus fostering sustainable growth and ethical leadership practices.
4. Continuous Learning and Adaptability: Neuroplasticity mechanisms enable continuous learning and adaptability to changing environments. Leaders who embrace a growth mindset and encourage a culture of lifelong learning can navigate uncertainties with agility, drive innovation, and propel organizational resilience in dynamic landscapes.
5. Empowerment and Goal Attainment: Activation in brain regions associated with autonomy and reward reinforces empowerment initiatives and goal-directed behaviors. Leaders who empower their teams, provide autonomy, and align individual goals with organizational objectives can foster intrinsic motivation, enhance employee engagement, and drive collective success.

The neuroscientific validation of the Drenica model underscores its significance as a versatile tool for leadership development and organizational effectiveness. By understanding the neural mechanisms underpinning each competency, organizations can tailor leadership development programs with precision, thus nurturing the growth and success of their leaders. Moreover, this fusion of neuroscience and leadership studies holds promise for enhancing our understanding of leadership effectiveness and facilitating evidence-based practices in leadership development.

In conclusion, the integration of neuroscience into leadership studies offers profound implications for leadership practice. By delving into the neural foundations of leadership competencies, we pave the way for the emergence of Neuoleadership—a paradigm that leverages neuroscientific insights to cultivate effective leadership behaviors and drive organizational success in an increasingly complex world. As we continue to unravel the mysteries of the brain, the symbiotic relationship between neuroscience and leadership studies promises to unlock the full potential of leaders, empowering them to inspire, motivate, and catalyze positive change within their organizations.

5. Discussion

The neuroscientific validation of the Drenica model offers profound insights into the biological substrates of effective leadership. By understanding how various competencies are instantiated in the brain, organizations can design more targeted and effective leadership development programs. Furthermore, this integration of neuroscience and leadership studies holds promise for enhancing our understanding of leadership effectiveness and facilitating evidence-based practices in leadership development.

6. Conclusion

The The Leadership Competency Model Drenica stands as a rare beacon in the global landscape, showcasing its unparalleled ability to generalize leadership competencies. This study unveils its robustness and validity through a neuroscience-based approach. Consequently, this model emerges as a versatile tool applicable across diverse fields, serving as a cornerstone for Neuoleadership development.

In essence, the Drenica model encapsulates a comprehensive spectrum of competencies vital for effective leadership. Neuroscientific validation sheds light on the neural under-

pinnings of these competencies, elucidating the intricate nexus between brain function and leadership behavior. Armed with this understanding, organizations can tailor leadership development initiatives with precision, nurturing the growth and success of their leaders.

As neuroscience progresses, its fusion with leadership studies holds the promise of deepening our comprehension of effective leadership. Ultimately, this symbiotic relationship between neuroscience and leadership studies opens up avenues for exploration, transcending theoretical frameworks to yield tangible benefits within organizational contexts. By delving into the neural foundations of leadership competencies, we inch closer to unlocking the full potential of leaders, empowering them to inspire, motivate, and catalyze positive change.

Abbreviations

DMN	Default Mode Network
GABA	Gamma-Aminobutyric Acid
PTSD	Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder

Author Contributions

Fadil Çitaku and Hetem Ramadani contributed equally to this paper. The authors have read and approved the final manuscript.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest regarding the publication of this article.

References

[1] Çitaku, F., & Ramadani, H. (2020). Leadership Competency Model-Drenica: Generalizability of Leadership Competencies. International Journal of Organizational Leadership, 9(3), 156-162. https://doi.org/10.33844/ijol.2020.60502

[2] Decety, J., & Lamm, C. (2007). The role of the right temporoparietal junction in social interaction: How low-level computational processes contribute to meta-cognition. The Neuroscientist, 13(6), 580–593.

[3] Fehr, E., & Camerer, C. F. (2007). Social neuroeconomics: The neural circuitry of social preferences. Trends in Cognitive Sciences, 11(10), 419–427.

[4] Rangel, A., Camerer, C., & Montague, P. R. (2008). A framework for studying the neurobiology of value-based decision making. Nature Reviews Neuroscience, 9(7), 545–556.

[5] Buckner, R. L., Andrews-Hanna, J. R., & Schacter, D. L. (2008). The brain's default network: Anatomy, function, and relevance to disease. Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences, 1124(1), 1–38.

[6] Donaldson, Z., & Young, L. (2008). Oxytocin, vasopressin, and the neurogenetics of sociality. Science, 322(5903), 900–904.

[7] Singer, T., & Klimecki, O. M. (2014). Empathy and compassion. Current Biology, 24(18), R875–R878.

[8] Everitt, B. J., & Robbins, T. W. (2016). Drug addiction: Updating actions to habits to compulsions ten years on. Annual Review of Psychology, 67, 23–50.

[9] Crockett, M. J., Clark, L., & Tabibnia, G. (2010). Serotonin modulates behavioral reactions to unfairness. Science, 329(5988), 533.

[10] Eichenbaum, H. (2017). Prefrontal–hippocampal interactions in episodic memory. Nature Reviews Neuroscience, 18(9), 547–558.

[11] Hofmann, S. G., Ellard, K. K., & Siegle, G. J. (2012). Neurobiological correlates of cognitions in fear and anxiety: A cognitive-neurobiological information-processing model. Cognition and Emotion, 26(2), 282–299.

[12] Ridderinkhof, K. R., Ullsperger, M., Crone, E. A., & Nieuwenhuis, S. (2004). The role of the medial frontal cortex in cognitive control. Science, 306(5695), 443–447.

[13] Zaki, J., & Ochsner, K. (2012). The neuroscience of empathy: Progress, pitfalls and promise. Nature Neuroscience, 15(5), 675–680.

[14] Murayama, K., Matsumoto, M., Izuma, K., & Matsumoto, K. (2015). Neural basis of the undermining effect of monetary reward on intrinsic motivation. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 112(18), 1–6.

[15] Meyer-Lindenberg, A., Domes, G., Kirsch, P., & Heinrichs, M. (2011). Oxytocin and vasopressin in the human brain: Social neuropeptides for translational medicine. Nature Reviews Neuroscience, 12(9), 524–538.

[16] Crockett, M. J., Siegel, J. Z., Kurth-Nelson, Z., Dayan, P., & Dolan, R. J. (2017). Moral transgressions corrupt neural representations of value. Nature Neuroscience, 20(6), 879–885.

[17] Cools, R., Gibbs, S. E., Miyakawa, A., Jagust, W., & D'Es.

[18] posito, M. (2008). Working memory capacity predicts dopamine synthesis capacity in the human striatum. The Journal of Neuroscience, 28(5), 1208–1212.

[19] Frank, M. J., Doll, B. B., Oas-Terpstra, J., & Moreno, F. (2009). Prefrontal and striatal dopaminergic genes predict individual differences in exploration and exploitation. Nature Neuroscience, 12(8), 1062–1068.

[20] Bartz, J. A., Zaki, J., Bolger, N., & Ochsner, K. N. (2011). Social effects of oxytocin in humans: Context and person matter. Trends in Cognitive Sciences, 15(7), 301–309.

[21] Delgado, Mauricio R., Elizabeth A. Phelps, and Trevor W. Robbins (eds), Decision Making, Affect, and Learning: Attention and Performance XXIII, Attention and Performance (Oxford, 2011; online edn, Oxford Academic, 1 May 2011), https://doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199600434.001.0001 (accessed 18 Mar. 2024)

[22] Wang, X. J., & Morris, R. G. (2010). Hippocampal-neocortical interactions in memory formation, consolidation, and recon-solidation. Annual Review of Psychology, 61, 49–79.

[23] Flaherty, A. W. (2005). Frontotemporal and dopaminergic control of idea generation and creative drive. Journal of Comparative Neurology, 493(1), 147–153.

[24] De Dreu, C. K., Greer L. L., Handgraaf M. J., Shalvi, S., Van Kleef, G. A., Baas, M., Ten Velden, F. S., Van Dijk, E., Feith, S. W. (2010). The neuropeptide oxytocin regulates parochial altruism in intergroup conflict among humans. Science. 2010 Jun 11; 328(5984): 1408-11. PMID: 20538951. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1189047

[25] Rilling, J. K., DeMarco, A. C., Hackett, P. D., Chen, X., Gautam, P., Stair, S., Haroon, E., Thompson, R., Ditzen, B., Patel, R., Pagnoni, G. (2014). Sex differences in the neural and behavioral response to intranasal oxytocin and vasopressin during human social interaction. Psychoneuroendocrinology. 2014 Jan; 39: 237-248. Epub 2013 Sep 27. PMID: 24157401; PMCID: PMC3842401. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psyneuen.2013.09.022

[26] Arnsten, A. F. T., Raskind, M. A., Taylor, F. B., & Connor, D. F. (2015). The effects of stress exposure on prefrontal cortex: Translating basic research into successful treatments for post-traumatic stress disorder. Neurobiology of Stress, 1, 89–99.

[27] Berridge, K. C. (2007). The debate over dopamine's role in reward: The case for incentive salience. Psychopharmacology, 191(3), 391–431.